arXiv:1701.09069v1 [math.AG] 31 Jan 2017

FUJIKI RELATIONS AND FIBRATIONS OF IRREDUCIBLE SYMPLECTIC VARIETIES

MARTIN SCHWALD

Abstract. This paper concerns singular, projective, irreducible symplectic varieties over $\mathbb{C}$. Generalizing results of Kirschner, Matsushita and Namikawa, we prove that the generalized Beauville-Bogomolov form satisfies the Fujiki relations and has rank $\big(3, 0, b_2(X) - 3\big)$. As a consequence, we see that these varieties admit only very special fibrations.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Symplectic varieties 1
1.2. Main results 2
1.3. Strategy of the proofs 3
1.4. Acknowledgement 3
2. Methods 3
2.1. Extension Theorem 3
2.2. Terminal models 4
2.3. Integration of singular top classes 4
2.4. Hodge Theory for klt varieties 4
2.5. Properties of symplectic varieties 5
3. Generalized Beauville-Bogomolov form 6
3.1. Namikawa's generalization 7
3.2. Kirschner's generalization 7
3.3. Normed Beauville-Bogomolov form 8
3.4. About the bilinear form and Matsushita's notation 9
4. Proofs of the main results 9
4.1. Fujiki relations and the index of the Beauville-Bogomolov form 9
4.2. Fibrations of irreducible symplectic varieties 11
Appendix 15
References 16

1. Introduction

1.1. **Symplectic varieties.** It is expected that symplectic varieties should play an important role in a possible structure theory for varieties with Kodaira dimension zero, [GKP16, Section 8]. To understand them better, we want to classify morphisms from symplectic varieties onto normal spaces of lower dimension.

Date: February 1, 2017.
The author gratefully acknowledges support by the DFG-Graduiertenkolleg GK1821 "Cohomological Methods in Geometry" at the University of Freiburg.

We briefly recall the relevant definitions required to formulate our main results. The literature contains several notions that generalize holomorphic symplectic manifolds to a singular setting. They agree in the existence of a *symplectic form* on the smooth locus.

Reflexive differential forms are holomorphic p-forms on the smooth locus X_{reg} of a variety X. We notate the associated sheaf on X as $\Omega_X^{[p]}$ and get

$$\Omega_X^{[p]} = (\Omega_X^p)^{**} = i_*\Omega^p_{X_{reg}},$$
$$\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X^{[p]}) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(X_{reg}, \Omega^p_{X_{reg}}),$$

where $i\colon X_{reg} \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion. See [Har80] for a reference on reflexive sheaves and [GKKP11, I–III], [KP16, I] for more information on reflexive differential forms.

Definition 1 (Symplectic varieties)**.** *Let X be a normal, complex projective variety. A* symplectic form *on X is a closed, reflexive form $\omega \in \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X^{[2]})$ that is not degenerate at any point $x \in X_{reg}$. We call the pair (X, ω) a* symplectic variety *if for any resolution of singularities $\nu\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ there is a holomorphic form $\widetilde{\omega} \in \mathrm{H}^0(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})$ that coincides with ω over X_{reg}. We say that ω* extends *to $\widetilde{\omega}$ on $\widetilde{X}$.*

A symplectic variety (X, ω) is called irreducible symplectic *if its irregularity $\mathrm{h}^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ vanishes and if $\mathrm{h}^0(X, \Omega_X^{[2]}) = 1$. We call an irreducible symplectic variety (X, ω)* Namikawa symplectic *if X is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial with $\operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{C}} X_{sing} \geq 4$.*

Section 2.5 reviews properties of these notions and discusses their relations. Symplectic varieties were introduced by Beauville in [Bea00, Definition 1.1]. The definition of irreducible symplectic varieties is inspired by attempts to generalize the Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition Theorem [Bea83, Theorem 2] to a singular setting, [GKP16, Section 8]. Bogomolov and Namikawa showed in [Bog78, Nam01b] that Namikawa symplectic varieties have a well behaved deformation theory.

1.2. **Main results.** On an irreducible symplectic variety X, Namikawa and Kirschner constructed in [Nam01b, Theorem 8 (2)], [Kir15, Definition 3.2.7] an important quadratic form q_X on $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$, the *Beauville-Bogomolov form*. Its definition will be recalled in Section 3. We will show that it has the following properties, which are well known in the smooth case, [Fuj87, Theorem 4.7], [Bea83, Théorème 5(a)]. Matsushita already proved them for Namikawa symplectic varieties in [Mat15, Proposition 4.1], [Mat01, Theorem 1.2].

Theorem 2 (Fujiki relations, index of the Beauville-Bogomolov form)**.** *Let (X, ω) be a $2n$-dimensional, irreducible symplectic variety. The Beauville-Bogomolov form q_X has the following properties:*

(1) There is a number $c_X \in \mathbb{R}^+$, called Fujiki constant*, such that for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ the following, so-called* Fujiki relation*, holds,*[1]

$$c_X \cdot q_X(\alpha)^n = \int_X \alpha^{2n}.$$

In particular for classes of Cartier divisors $d = c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_X(D)\big)$, this relates the Beauville-Bogomolov form to the intersection product via

$$c_X \cdot q_X(d)^n = D^{2n}.$$

(2) The restriction of q_X to $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a real quadratic form of index *$\big(3, 0, b_2(X) - 3\big)$.*

[1] We will recall integration on singular cohomology in Section 2.3.

Part (2) implies the existence of a q_X-orthogonal decomposition $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{R}) = V_+ \oplus V_-$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V_+ = 3$, such that q_X is positive definite on V_+ and negative definite on V_-, see Proposition 22.

Matsushita deduces from his version of Theorem 2, [Mat01, Theorem 1.2], that Namikawa symplectic varieties have only very special fibrations, [Mat01, Corollary 1.4]. We will prove the same for fibrations of arbitrary irreducible symplectic varieties.

Theorem 3 (Fibrations of irreducible symplectic varieties)**.** *Let (X, ω) be an irreducible symplectic variety of complex dimension $2n$, together with a surjective morphism $f\colon X \to B$ onto a projective, normal variety B, such that the general fiber F of f is connected with dimension $0 < \dim F < 2n$. Then the following properties hold.*

(1) The base variety B is n-dimensional with Picard number $\rho(B) = 1$.
(2) The singular locus of X is mapped to a proper closed subset of B. In particular, the general fiber is smooth and entirely contained in X_{reg}.
(3) The general fiber F is an n-dimensional, Abelian variety. It is a Lagrangian subvariety*, in other words, the restriction $\omega\big|_F \in \mathrm{H}^0(F, \Omega^2_F)$ vanishes.*
(4) Every Weil divisor $D \neq 0$ on X fulfills $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} f(\operatorname{supp} D) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} B - 1$.

1.3. **Strategy of the proofs.** Our proofs rely on the general extension theorem for differential forms from [GKKP11, Theorem 1.4] and the existence of terminal models from [BCHM10, Corollary 1.4.3]. Conveniently, terminal models of irreducible symplectic varieties turn out to be Namikawa symplectic. These two methods had not been available when Matsushita and Namikawa proved their results.

We show in Section 4 how to deduce the Fujiki relation and Theorem 3 from a terminal model. However, the calculation of the index of the generalized Beauville Bogomolov form requires results on the structure of $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ that we explain in detail in [Sch16]. Calculations with the Fujiki relations, the index and the Hodge theory of $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ also give us a direct proof of Theorem 3 in Section 4.2, which generalizes Matsushita's proof from [Mat01, Corollary 1.4].

1.4. **Acknowledgement.** The author wants to thank his supervisor Prof. Kebekus, as well as Prof. Oguiso and B. Taji for their advice and long, fruitful discussions.

2. Methods

We recall in 2.1–2.3 the general extension theorem for differential forms, the existence of terminal models and integration over singular cohomology classes. The results from [Sch16] on the Hodge theory of $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ are summarized in 2.4, especially the Hodge decomposition and a version of the Hodge Riemann bilinear relations on klt varieties. We recapitulate in 2.5 well known properties of symplectic varieties. They will imply that terminal models of irreducible symplectic varieties are Namikawa symplectic.

2.1. **Extension Theorem.** The extension theorem for differential forms [GKKP11, Theorem 1.4] shows that on a klt variety X any reflexive form $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega^{[p]}_X)$ can be *extended* to any resolution of singularities. This allows us to construct pullbacks of reflexive forms along more general morphisms.

Theorem 4 (Pullbacks of reflexive forms, [Keb13, Theorem 1.3])**.** *Let $f\colon Y \to X$ be a morphism between normal, complex projective varieties. Assume that there is a Weil divisor D on X, such that (X, D) is klt. Then there is a natural pullback morphism $f^*\Omega^{[p]}_X \to \Omega^{[p]}_Y$, consistent with the natural pullback of Kähler differentials on X_{reg}.* □

The consistency with the natural pullback of Kähler differentials is made precise in [Keb13, Theorem 5.2]. We will only consider the case when $f\colon Y \to X$ is surjective, for example a birational morphism. Then this consistency means that the pullback morphism $f^*\Omega_X^{[p]} \to \Omega_Y^{[p]}$ agrees with the usual pullback of Kähler differentials wherever X and Y are smooth. In other words, for every $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X^{[p]})$ there is an $\widetilde{\alpha} \in \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \Omega_Y^{[p]})$ that coincides with $f^*(\alpha\big|_{X_{reg}})$, seen as Kähler differential on $Y_{reg} \cap f^{-1}(X_{reg})$. We call $\widetilde{\alpha}$ an *extension* of α to Y. Pulling back reflexive forms is contravariant functorial with respect to f.

2.2. **Terminal models.** While not every singular variety admits a crepant resolution, varieties with klt singularities have always a *terminal model*. For canonical singularities a terminal model is a *crepant partial resolution*. This is an easy special case of [BCHM10, Corollary 1.4.3].

Theorem 5 (Terminal models, [BCHM10, page 413])**.** *Let X be a complex projective variety with canonical singularities. Then any resolution $\nu\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ of X factors through a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial variety Y with at most terminal singularities, $\widetilde{X} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\pi}} Y \xrightarrow{\pi} X$, such that $\pi^*K_X = K_Y$. We call Y a* terminal model *of X.* □

2.3. **Integration of singular top classes.** Recall that we integrate a top singular cohomology class $\phi \in \mathrm{H}^{2n}(X, \mathbb{C})$ over an n-dimensional, compact, complex variety X as $\int_X \phi := [X] \cap \phi$. Here $[X] \in \mathrm{H}_{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the canonical fundamental class of X, induced by the complex structure on its smooth locus X_{reg}. It exists, because X is by [GM80, 1.1] endowed with the structure of a $2n$-dimensional pseudomanifold and the complex structure on the smooth locus X_{reg} induces a canonical orientation. Furthermore, the cap product induces a perfect pairing

$$\begin{aligned} \cap\colon \mathrm{H}_{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathrm{H}^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z}) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_0(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \\ (\sigma \quad , \quad \phi) &\longmapsto \sigma \cap \phi, \end{aligned}$$

so Poincaré duality holds in degree $2n$, see [Sch16, Section 3.4] for details. This integration commutes with pullbacks by bimeromorphic maps.

Lemma 6 (Pullbacks of integrals, [Sch16, Lemma 12])**.** *If $f\colon X \to Y$ is a bimeromorphic morphism of irreducible, compact, complex varieties, then $\int_Y \alpha = \int_X f^*\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^{2n}(Y, \mathbb{C})$.* □

2.4. **Hodge Theory for klt varieties.** On Namikawa symplectic varieties, Matsushita and Namikawa consider the Hodge Frölicher spectral sequence on the smooth locus. As the singularities are of codimension at least four, the spectral sequence degenerates on the first page up to degree two, [Ohs87, Theorem 1]. The author does not know if this holds more generally. However, for klt varieties the Leray spectral sequence and the extension theorem imply the following decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$.

Theorem 7 (Structure of $\mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$, [Sch16, Theorem 7])**.** *If X is a complex projective variety with at most klt singularities, then for $p = 1, 2$ the mixed Hodge structure on $\mathrm{H}^p(X, \mathbb{C})$ is pure of weight p. Furthermore any resolution of singularities $\nu\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ induces injective pullback maps*

$$\nu_{ab}^*\colon \mathrm{H}^{a,b}(X) \to \mathrm{H}^{a,b}(\widetilde{X}) \quad \text{for } a + b = p,$$

where the morphisms ν_{p0}^* and ν_{0p}^* are isomorphisms. There are canonical isomorphisms $\kappa_{p0}\colon \mathrm{H}^{p,0}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X^{[p]})$ and $\kappa_{0p}\colon \mathrm{H}^{0,p}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^p(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ that commute with the natural pullback morphisms and the Dolbeault isomorphisms δ_{p0}, δ_{0p}.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^{p,0}(\widetilde{X}) & \xrightarrow{\sim}_{\delta_{p0}} & \mathrm{H}^0(\widetilde{X},\, \Omega^p_{\widetilde{X}}) \\ {\scriptstyle \nu^*_{p0}}\uparrow\wr & & {\scriptstyle ext}\uparrow\wr \\ \mathrm{H}^{p,0}(X) & \xrightarrow{\sim}_{\kappa_{p0}} & \mathrm{H}^0(X,\, \Omega^{[p]}_X) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^{0,p}(\widetilde{X}) & \xrightarrow{\sim}_{\delta_{0p}} & \mathrm{H}^p(\widetilde{X},\, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}) \\ {\scriptstyle \nu^*_{0p}}\uparrow\wr & & {\scriptstyle \nu^*}\uparrow\wr \\ \mathrm{H}^{0,p}(X) & \xrightarrow{\sim}_{\kappa_{0p}} & \mathrm{H}^p(X,\, \mathcal{O}_X) \end{array} \qquad \square$$

Remark 8. *Let X be a complex projective variety with at most klt singularities. Every reflexive two-form on X defines by Theorem 7 a unique singular cohomology class and we notate this canonical inclusion by:*

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{20}\colon \mathrm{H}^0(X,\, \Omega^{[2]}_X) &\xrightarrow{\kappa_{20}} \mathrm{H}^{2,0}(X) \subset \mathrm{H}^2(X,\, \mathbb{C}) \\ \alpha &\longmapsto \underline{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Due to the first diagram in Theorem 7, the class $\underline{\alpha} \in \mathrm{H}^2(X,\, \mathbb{C})$ has the property that on any resolution $\nu\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ the extension of α represents the class $\nu^*\underline{\alpha}$ in $\mathrm{H}^2(\widetilde{X},\, \mathbb{C})$.

The author explains the Hodge theory of $\mathrm{H}^2(X,\, \mathbb{C})$ and applications in detail in [Sch16]. In this paper, we make use of the following version of the Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations on klt varieties.

Corollary 9 (Bilinear relations on klt varieties, [Sch16, Corollary 16])**.** *Let X be an n-dimensional, complex projective variety with at most klt singularities. Any ample class $a \in \mathrm{H}^2(X,\, \mathbb{R})$ induces a sesquilinear form $\psi_{X,a}$, defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{X,a}\colon \mathrm{H}^k(X,\, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{H}^k(X,\, \mathbb{C}) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (\alpha \quad , \quad \beta) &\longmapsto (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \cdot \textstyle\int_X \alpha \cup \overline{\beta} \cup a^{n-k}. \end{aligned}$$

The so-called Hodge Riemann bilinear relation $i^{p-q} \cdot \psi_{X,a}(\alpha, \alpha) > 0$ *holds for any non-zero α with $\alpha \cup a^{n-k+1} = 0$ that can be written as the cup product of classes $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r \in \mathrm{H}^1(X,\, \mathbb{C}) \cup \mathrm{H}^2(X,\, \mathbb{C})$.*

Here we notated $p := \sum p_j$ and $q := \sum q_j$ if the α_j are in the $\mathrm{H}^{p_j,q_j}(X)$-part of the Hodge decompostion of $\mathrm{H}^1(X,\, \mathbb{C})$ or $\mathrm{H}^2(X,\, \mathbb{C})$. $\square$

2.5. **Properties of symplectic varieties.** We mention the following properties of symplectic varieties. They will imply that terminal models of irreducible symplectic varieties are Namikawa symplectic.

Lemma 10 (Properties of symplectic varieties)**.**

(1) A symplectic variety has canonical singularities and trivial canonical class, cf. [Bea00, Proposition 1.3].
(2) A symplectic variety has at most terminal singularities if and only if the singular locus is at least of codimension four, [Nam01a, Corollary 1].
(3) An irreducible symplectic variety X is Namikawa symplectic if and only if it has at most $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, terminal singularities.

Proof of (1). Let (X, ω) be a $2n$-dimensional symplectic variety with a resolution $\nu\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$. By definition, ω extends to a regular form $\widetilde{\omega}$ on $\widetilde{X}$. Let K_X, $K_{\widetilde{X}}$ be the canonical divisor classes on X, $\widetilde{X}$. Due to linear algebra, it is equivalent that ω is non-degenerate and that $\omega^n \in \mathrm{H}^0(X,\, \Omega^{[2n]}_X)$ has no zeros. Since X is normal, ω^n extends to a global section of $\mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$ with vanishing divisor. Thus $\widetilde{\omega}^n$ is a global section of $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(K_{\widetilde{X}})$ that may only have zeros along the set $\mathcal{E}$ of ν-exceptional divisors. Hence we can write up to linear equivalence

$$0 \leq \operatorname{div}(\widetilde{\omega}^n) = K_{\widetilde{X}} = \underbrace{\nu^* \operatorname{div}(\omega^n)}_{=0} + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \operatorname{discr}(E) \cdot E.$$

Therefore all discrepancies are non-negative and X has canonical singularities.

Proof of (2) *and* (3). Note that in general terminal singularities may be only of codimension three. However, Namikawa proves in [Nam01a] that the singular locus of any symplectic variety X has no component of pure codimension three. Furthermore, every exceptional divisor E over X with vanishing discrepancy has a center of codimension two in X. Namikawa deduces (2) from this in [Nam01a, Corollary 1]. Part (3) follows immediately from (2). □

Remark 11 (Equivalent definition of symplectic varieties)**.** *Let X be a complex projective variety with a symplectic form $\omega \in \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X^{[2]})$. Then (X, ω) is by Lemma 10 a symplectic variety if and only if X has canonical singularities. It is Namikawa symplectic if and only if X has $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities. The form ω automatically extends to resolutions of X by the extension theorem. This gives definitions that fit better into the spirit of the* Minimal Model Program*.*

We point out that Matsushita uses a slightly different looking definition of irreducible symplectic varieties. In [Mat01] he uses the condition $\mathrm{h}^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 1$ instead of $\mathrm{h}^0(X, \Omega_X^{[2]}) = 1$. It follows from Theorem 7 that these two conditions are equivalent by the Hodge symmetry.

Proposition 12. *For an irreducible symplectic variety (X, ω) every terminal model $(Y, \widetilde{\omega})$ is a Namikawa symplectic variety with $\widetilde{\omega} \in \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \Omega_Y^{[2]})$ the extension of ω to Y from Theorem 4.*

Proof. The form ω extends to a reflexive form $\widetilde{\omega} \in \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \Omega_Y^{[2]})$ by Theorem 4. We have $\pi^* K_X = K_Y$, because π is crepant, and Lemma 10 (1) shows that these canonical classes are trivial. Let X be $2n$-dimensional. As Y is normal, $\widetilde{\omega}^n$ can be extended to a non-trivial section of $\mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y)$ that has no zeros on Y_{reg}. This is equivalent to $\widetilde{\omega}$ being non-degenerate on Y_{reg}. The extension theorem allows us to extend $\widetilde{\omega}$ to any resolution $\widetilde{\pi}\colon \widetilde{X} \to Y$ of Y, hence $(Y, \widetilde{\omega})$ is a symplectic variety with at most $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, terminal singularities by construction. As $\widetilde{X}$ is a common resolution of X and Y, Theorem 7 gives the missing irreducibility conditions of Y:

$$\mathrm{h}^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = \mathrm{h}^1(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}) = \mathrm{h}^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0,$$
$$\mathrm{h}^0(Y, \Omega_Y^{[2]}) = h^2(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}) = \mathrm{h}^0(X, \Omega_X^{[2]}) = 1.$$

Hence $(Y, \widetilde{\omega})$ is Namikawa symplectic by Lemma 10. □

3. Generalized Beauville-Bogomolov form

We explain how the Beauville-Bogomolov form is defined in a singular setting, comparing the equivalent constructions by Kirschner and Namikawa and clarifying possible notational issues. We hope this might also help to understand Kirschner's, Matsushita's and Namikawa's results.

On any Riemannian manifold X the Theorem of de Rham allows us to consider any singular cohomology class as a class of differential forms. Using this, Beauville defined in [Bea83, page 772] on any compact, Kähler, irreducible symplectic manifold (X, ω) the *Beauville-Bogomolov form* by:

$$q_{X,\omega}\colon \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$$
$$q_{X,\omega}(\alpha) := n \int_X (\omega\overline{\omega})^{n-1}\alpha^2 + (1-2n)\Big(\int_X \omega^n \overline{\omega}^{n-1}\alpha\Big) \cdot \Big(\int_X \omega^{n-1}\overline{\omega}^n \alpha\Big)$$

The products and powers denote here the wedge products of the de Rham classes or equivalently the cup product of their singular cohomology classes.

3.1. **Namikawa's generalization.** Namikawa defines $q_{X,\omega}$ in the singular setting by pulling back everything to a resolution of singularities and then calculating Beauville's formula. This gives the following definition.

Definition 13 (Beauville-Bogomolov form, cf. [Nam01b, Theorem 8 (2)])**.** *Let (X,ω) be a $2n$-dimensional, irreducible symplectic variety with a resolution $\nu\colon \widetilde{X}\to X$. The pullbacks $\nu^*\alpha =: \widetilde{\alpha}$ of classes $\alpha\in \mathrm{H}^2(X,\mathbb{C})$ may via de Rham's Theorem be regarded as the classes of two-forms. We extend ω to a form $\widetilde{\omega}\in \mathrm{H}^0(\widetilde{X},\Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})$. Then the* Beauville-Bogomolov form *on X is the quadratic form defined by:*

$$q_{X,\omega}\colon \mathrm{H}^2(X,\mathbb{C})\to\mathbb{C}$$
$$q_{X,\omega}(\alpha) := n\int_{\widetilde{X}}(\widetilde{\omega}\overline{\widetilde{\omega}})^{n-1}\widetilde{\alpha}^2 + (1-2n)\Big(\int_{\widetilde{X}}\widetilde{\omega}^n\overline{\widetilde{\omega}}^{n-1}\widetilde{\alpha}\Big)\cdot\Big(\int_{\widetilde{X}}\widetilde{\omega}^{n-1}\overline{\widetilde{\omega}}^{n}\widetilde{\alpha}\Big)$$

The products and powers denote here the wedge products of forms on $\widetilde{X}$.

The Beauville-Bogomolov form does not depend on the chosen resolution. Given two resolutions ν, ν' of X, this can be seen by going over to a common resolution, factoring through ν and ν'.

This definition is well suited for calculations. However, the resolution $\widetilde{X}$ does not need to be symplectic again. Hence we cannot trivially deduce all properties of $q_{X,\omega}$ from the smooth case by considering the resolution $\widetilde{X}$.

3.2. **Kirschner's generalization.** Kirschner defines the Beauville-Bogomolov form for irreducible symplectic varieties X by directly integrating singular cohomology classes on the singular variety X as in Section 2.3. This is similar to Beauville's formula and turns out to be equivalent to Namikawa's Definition 13. It is more suitable for generalizing properties like the index and the Fujiki relations of the Beauville-Bogomolov form to irreducible symplectic varieties.

Definition 14 (Beauville-Bogomolov form, cf. [Kir15, Definition 3.2.7])**.** *Let X be a $2n$-dimensional, irreducible, complex projective variety. Then we can define for any class $w\in \mathrm{H}^2(X,\mathbb{C})$ a quadratic form*

$$q_{X,w}\colon \mathrm{H}^2(X,\mathbb{C})\to\mathbb{C}$$
$$q_{X,w}(v) := n\int_X (w\overline{w})^{n-1}v^2 + (1-2n)\Big(\int_X w^n\overline{w}^{n-1}v\Big)\cdot\Big(\int_X w^{n-1}\overline{w}^{n}v\Big).$$

Here the products and powers denote the cup product in the cohomology ring $\mathrm{H}^*(X,\mathbb{C})$. Using our notation from Remark 8, we can consider on an irreducible symplectic variety (X,ω) the class $\underline{\omega}\in\mathrm{H}^2(X,\mathbb{C})$. The quadratic form $q_{X,\underline{\omega}}$ is called Beauville-Bogomolov form *on X.*

We want to relate Namikawa's and Kirschner's definitions of the Beauville-Bogomolov form. For this, we prove in the following lemma that Definition 14 behaves well under pullbacks along birational morphisms.

Lemma 15. *Let $\pi\colon Y\to X$ be a birational morphism from normal, complex projective variety Y to a symplectic variety (X,ω) with an extension $\omega'\in\mathrm{H}^0(Y,\Omega^{[2]}_Y)$ of ω. Then we have $\pi^*\underline{\omega}=\underline{\omega'}\in\mathrm{H}^2(Y,\mathbb{C})$ and therefore*

$$q_{Y,\pi^*\underline{\omega}} = q_{Y,\underline{\omega'}} \quad \text{and} \quad q_{X,\underline{\omega}} = q_{Y,\pi^*\underline{\omega}}\circ\pi^*.$$

Proof. We take a resolution $\nu\colon\widetilde{X}\to X$ that factors as $\widetilde{X}\xrightarrow{\widetilde{\pi}} Y\xrightarrow{\pi} X$. Then the extensions of ω and ω' to $\widetilde{X}$ are a unique form $\widetilde{\omega}\in\mathrm{H}^0(\widetilde{X},\Omega^2_X)$. Thus $\widetilde{\pi}^*(\pi^*\underline{\omega})$ and

$\widetilde{\pi}^*(\underline{\omega'})$ are both equal to the class of $\widetilde{\omega}$ in $\mathrm{H}^2(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{C})$. Due to Theorem 7, the map $\widetilde{\pi}^*$ is bijective on $\mathrm{H}^{2,0}(Y)$. Hence we get $\pi^*\underline{\omega} = \underline{\omega'}$.

We noted in Lemma 6 that the integration of top cohomology classes is compatible with pullbacks under birational morphisms. For any $v, w \in \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ we can apply this to the occurring integrals in Definition 14 of the Beauville-Bogomolov form, such that we get $q_{X,w}(v) = q_{Y,\pi^*w}(\pi^*v)$ for all $v \in \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$. □

Corollary 16 (Equivalence of the definitions)**.** *On an irreducible symplectic variety (X, ω) Namikawa's and Kirschner's definitions of the Beauville-Bogomolov form are equivalent in terms of*

$$q_{X,\omega} = q_{X,\underline{\omega}}.$$

Proof. Let $\widetilde{\omega}$ be the extension of ω to a resolution $\nu\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$. Using the notation of Kirschner's Definition 14, we can write Namikawa's Definition 13 as $q_{X,\omega} = q_{\widetilde{X},\widetilde{\underline{\omega}}} \circ \nu^*$. This equals $q_{X,\underline{\omega}}$ by Lemma 15. □

3.3. **Normed Beauville-Bogomolov form.** The Beauville-Bogomolov form on an irreducible symplectic variety (X, ω) depends on the symplectic form. It is convenient to norm ω in order to make the Beauville-Bogomolov form on X a unique form q_X. This is done in a way, such that we will later obtain the Fujiki relations in Theorem 2. It can be proven exactly like in the smooth case, [Bea83, Theorem 5a], that the restriction of q_X gives up to a positive real factor an integral form $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}$. In this subsection we use the following notation:

Notation 17. *On a $2n$-dimensional, irreducible symplectic variety X denote*

$$\begin{aligned} I\colon \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C}) &\to \mathbb{R}^+ \\ w &\mapsto \int_X (w\overline{w})^n. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we write $I(\omega) := I(\underline{\omega})$ for any symplectic class ω on X.

Lemma 18 (Existence and uniqueness of the norming)**.** *Let X be a $2n$-dimensional, irreducible symplectic variety X. Any symplectic class $\omega \in \mathrm{H}^2(X, \Omega_X^{[2]}) \setminus \{0\}$ induces a* normed class *$\omega' := I(\omega)^{-\frac{1}{2n}} \cdot \omega$ with $I(\omega') = 1$. The corresponding Beauville-Bogomolov form $q_{X,\omega'}$ does not depend on the choice of ω.*

Proof. As X is irreducible symplectic, any symplectic class $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X^{[2]}) \setminus \{0\}$ on X differs only by a constant factor from the class ω, say $\alpha = c\omega$ for $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. We see $I(c\omega) = |c|^{2n} I(\omega)$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} I(\omega') &= I\big(I(\omega)^{-\frac{1}{2n}} \cdot \omega\big) = I(\omega)^{-1} \cdot I(\omega) = 1, \\ (c\omega)' &= I(c\omega)^{-\frac{1}{2n}} \cdot c\omega = \frac{c}{|c|} I(\omega)^{-\frac{1}{2n}} \cdot \omega = \frac{c}{|c|}\omega'. \end{aligned}$$

Hence changing ω merely changes its normed class ω' by a constant of absolute value one. We see directly from Definition 13 that this does not affect $q_{X,\omega'}$. □

Definition 19 (Normed Beauville-Bogomolov form)**.** *Let X be an irreducible symplectic variety. The* normed Beauville-Bogomolov form *is defined as $q_X := q_{X,\omega}$ for any symplectic form ω with $I(\omega) = 1$.*

Lemma 18 shows that Definition 19 and the notation q_X make sense, as this is a unique quadratic form, depending only on X. We show that this norming is compatible with pullbacks like in Lemma 15.

Lemma 20 (Pullback of q_X)**.** *Let $\pi\colon Y \to X$ be a birational morphism of irreducible symplectic varieties with normed Beauville-Bogomolov forms q_X, q_Y on X, Y. If $q_X = q_{X,\omega}$, then $q_Y = q_{Y,\omega'}$ for the extension ω' of ω to Y and we have $q_X = q_Y \circ \pi^*$.*

Proof. We write $q_X = q_{X,\omega}$ and $w := \underline{\omega}$ for a normed symplectic class $\omega \in \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X^{[2]})$ with $I(w) = 1$. We get $\int_Y \pi^*(w\overline{w})^n = \int_X (w\overline{w})^n = 1$ by Lemma 6. Hence $\pi^* w$ is also normed in $\mathrm{H}^2(Y, \mathbb{C})$, so $q_Y = q_{Y,\pi^* w}$. Now Lemma 15 gives us $q_Y = q_{Y,\pi^*\underline{\omega}} = q_{Y,\omega'}$ and

$$q_X = q_{X,w} = q_{Y,\pi^* w} \circ \pi^* = q_Y \circ \pi^*. \qquad \square$$

3.4. **About the bilinear form and Matsushita's notation.** The Beauville-Bogomolov form q_X on an irreducible symplectic variety (X, ω) is induced by a symmetric bilinear form. Like Matsushita we also notate it with q_X, but with two arguments.

Remark 21. *We write the normed Beauville-Bogomolov form on an irreducible symplectic variety X as $q_X = q_{X,w}$ for a normed $w \in \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$. Due to linear algebra we have for all $a, b \in \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$:*

$$\begin{aligned} q_X(a,b) &= \frac{1}{2}\big(q_X(a+b) - q_X(a) - q_X(b)\big) \\ &= n \int_X (w\overline{w})^{n-1} ab \\ &\quad + \frac{1-2n}{2}\Big(\int_X w^n \overline{w}^{n-1} a \int_X w^{n-1}\overline{w}^n b + \int_X w^n \overline{w}^{n-1} b \int_X w^{n-1}\overline{w}^n a \Big). \end{aligned}$$

In his proofs in [Mat01], Matsushita plugs into the Beauville-Bogomolov form objects of different types: two-forms derived from the symplectic form ω, classes in $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$, Cartier divisors $D \in \mathrm{Div}(X)$ and sums of these types. This should be understood via the Hodge decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ from Theorem 7 by identifying $\mathrm{H}^{2,0}(X, \mathbb{C})$ with the reflexive two-forms and Cartier divisors with their first Chern classes in $\mathrm{H}^{1,1}(X)$. So for example $q_X(\omega + \overline{\omega} + D)$ in Matsushita's notation should be understood as $q_X\Big(\underline{\omega} + \overline{\underline{\omega}} + c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_X(D)\big)\Big)$ in our notation, where q_X is the normed Beauville-Bogomolov form from Definition 19. To avoid confusion, we will only apply q_X to classes in $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$, as we defined it.

4. Proofs of the main results

4.1. **Fujiki relations and the index of the Beauville-Bogomolov form.** We start with the proof of Theorem 2 and show the Fujiki relations for irreducible symplectic varieties. A natural approach would be to pass to a resolution of singularities, but this might not be a symplectic variety anymore. Instead, we pass to a terminal model, which is a Namikawa symplectic variety by Proposition 12. Part (2) of Theorem 2 will follow directly from the more precise Proposition 22 about the decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ into orthogonal subspaces, on which the Beauville-Bogomolov is definite. The Hodge theory of $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ and the Fujiki relations allow us to calculate the index by hand.

Proof of Theorem 2, part (1). Let X be a $2n$-dimensional, irreducible symplectic variety with normed Beauville-Bogomolov form q_X on $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ as in Definition 19. We need to show that there is a constant $c_X \in \mathbb{R}^+$, such that for all $v \in \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ we have the Fujiki relation

$$c_X \cdot q_X(v)^n = \int_X v^{2n}.$$

We write $q_X = q_{X,\omega}$ for a normed symplectic class ω with $\int_X (\underline{\omega\overline{\omega}})^n = 1$. By Proposition 12, every terminal model $\pi\colon Y \to X$ of X becomes, together with an extension $\omega' \in \mathrm{H}^2(Y, \Omega_Y^{[2]})$ of ω from Theorem 4, a Namikawa symplectic variety. We have $q_Y = q_{Y,\omega'}$ and $q_X = q_Y \circ \pi^*$ by Lemma 20.

Taking such a Namikawa symplectic terminal model (Y, ω'), we can apply [Mat01, Theorem 1.2] to Y to get a $c_Y \in \mathbb{R}^+$, such that for all $u \in \mathrm{H}^2(Y, \mathbb{C})$:

$$c_Y \cdot q_Y(u)^n = \int_Y u^{2n}. \tag{1}$$

Therefore we get for any $v \in \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$:

$$\begin{aligned} c_Y \cdot q_X(v)^n &= c_Y \cdot q_Y(\pi^* v)^n && \text{By Lemma 20} \\ &= \int_Y (\pi^* v)^{2n} && \text{Equation (1) for } u := \pi^* v \\ &= \int_Y \pi^*(v^{2n}) \\ &= \int_X v^{2n} && \text{By Lemma 6} \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have proved the Fujiki relation for the constant $c_X := c_Y$. □

Proposition 22 (Index of the Beauville Bogomolov form q_X)**.** *Let (X, ω) be a $2n$-dimensional, irreducible symplectic variety with normed Beauville-Bogomolov form $q_X = q_{X,w}$, $w \in \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ and an ample class $a \in \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{R})$. Restricting q_X gives a real quadratic form $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ splits into the following q_X-orthogonal subspaces*

$$\begin{aligned} V_+ &:= \langle w + \overline{w},\, iw - i\overline{w},\, a \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}\,, \\ V_- &:= \{ d \in \mathrm{H}^{1,1}(X) \cap \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \mid q_X(d, a) = 0 \} \\ &= a^\perp \cap \mathrm{H}^{1,1}(X) \cap \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{R}), \end{aligned}$$

such that q_X is positive definite on V_+, negative definite on V_- and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V_+ = 3$.

Proof that restricting q_X gives a real form. An easy computation for arbitrary $v \in \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ shows $q_{X,w}(\overline{v}) = \overline{q_{X,w}(v)}$, using that the integrals are compatible with complex conjugation. Hence restricting gives a real quadratic form $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$.

Proof of $V_+ \perp V_-$ and the positivity of q_X on V_+. We use $\int_X (w\overline{w})^n = 1$ and the formula from Remark 21 to calculate the Beauville-Bogomolov bilinear form q_X on the elements $w + \overline{w}$, $iw - i\overline{w}$, a. The Hodge decomposition from Theorem 7 allows us to calculate the occurring integrals via the usual type considerations.

$$\begin{aligned} q_X(w + \overline{w}) = q_X(iw - i\overline{w}) &= 2n + \frac{1 - 2n}{2}(1 + 1) = 1 \\ q_X(w + \overline{w},\, iw - i\overline{w}) &= (-i + i)n + \frac{1 - 2n}{2}(i - i) = 0 \\ \forall v \in \mathrm{H}^{1,1}(X)\colon q_X(w + \overline{w},\, v) = q_X(iw - i\overline{w},\, v) &= 0 \\ q_X(a) &= \int_X (w\overline{w})^{n-1} a^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $w + \overline{w}$, $iw - i\overline{w}$, a are orthogonal with respect to q_X. The two subspaces V_+ and V_- of $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ are likewise orthogonal. The restriction $q_X\big|_{V_+}$ is diagonal with eigenvalues 1, 1, $q_X(a)$. As $w^{n-1} \cup a^3 = 0$ by type considerations, the Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations, Corollary 9, show that $q_X(a) = \psi_{X,a}(w^{n-1}, w^{n-1})$ is positive and therefore q_X positive definite on V_+.

Proof that $V_+ \oplus V_- = \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{R})$. The classes $w + \overline{w}$, $iw - i\overline{w}$ are real, because they are invariant under complex conjugation. The class a is real by the definition of c_1. This gives $V_+ + V_- \subset \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{R})$.

On the other hand, as X is irreducible symplectic, $\mathrm{H}^{2,0}(X)$, $\mathrm{H}^{0,2}(X)$ are spanned over $\mathbb{C}$ by w, $\overline{w}$. Hence any real class $v \in \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ can be decomposed as $v = \alpha w + v^{1,1} + \beta\overline{w}$ with $\overline{\alpha} = \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $v^{1,1} = \overline{v^{1,1}} \in \mathrm{H}^{1,1}(X) \cap \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{R})$. We note $q_X(v^{1,1} - \lambda a, a) = 0$ for $\lambda := \frac{q_X(v^{1,1}, a)}{q_X(a)} \in \mathbb{R}$. This gives the decomposition $v = v_+ + v_-$ into the following two parts.

$$\begin{aligned} v_+ &:= Re(\alpha) \cdot (w + \overline{w}) + Im(\alpha) \cdot (iw - i\overline{w}) + \lambda a && \in V_+ \\ v_- &:= v^{1,1} - \lambda a && \in V_- \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get $V_+ + V_- = \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{R})$. The directness of this sum decomposition will follow from the negativity of q_X on V_-.

Proof of the negativity of q_X *on* V_-. Any element d of the space V_- is a real class of type $(1,1)$ with $q_X(d, a) = 0$. We need to show $q_X(d) < 0$ if $d \neq 0$. We use the Fujiki relations on X to calculate for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\int_X (td + a)^{2n} = c_X \cdot q_X(td + a)^n = c_X \cdot \big(t^2 q_X(d) + \underbrace{t q_X(d, a)}_{=0} + q_X(a)\big)^n.$$

Comparing the t and t^2-terms on both sides yields

$$d \cup a^{2n-1} = 0$$

$$(2n-1) \cdot \int_X (d^2 \cup a^{2n-2}) = c_X \cdot q_X(d) \cdot q_X(a)^{n-1}.$$

We have $d = \overline{d}$, because d is real. Therefore the Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations, Corollary 9, imply $\int_X (d^2 \cup a^{2n-2}) = \psi_{X,a}(d, d) < 0$. This proves

$$q(d) = \frac{2n-1}{c_X \cdot q_X(a)^{n-1}} \cdot \psi_{X,a}(d, d) < 0. \qquad \square$$

4.2. **Fibrations of irreducible symplectic varieties.** We want to explain two ways to prove Theorem 3. As Matsushita already proved it for Namikawa symplectic varieties, we want to show first how to reduce the proof of Theorem 3 directly to Matsushita's result [Mat01, Corollary 1.4].

Afterwards, we give a direct proof of Theorem 3. It uses Matsushita's ideas for [Mat01, Corollary 1.4], which basically require calculations with Fujiki relations. More precisely, we use Theorem 2 to make Matsushita's proof work without his assumptions that X is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial or has singularities of codimension at least four.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let (X, ω) be a projective, irreducible symplectic variety of complex dimension $2n$, together with a surjective morphism $f\colon X \to B$ onto a projective, normal variety B, such that the general fiber F of f is connected with dimension $0 < \dim F < 2n$. We will show four claims:

(1) The base variety B is n-dimensional with Picard number $\rho(B) = 1$.
(2) The singular locus of X is mapped to a proper closed subset of B. The general fiber is smooth and entirely contained in X_{reg}.
(3) The general fiber F is an n-dimensional, Abelian, Lagrangian subvariety.
(4) Every Weil divisor $D \neq 0$ on X fulfills $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} f(\operatorname{supp} D) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} B - 1$.

4.2.1. *Reduction to Matsushita's result.* We choose by Theorem 5 a terminal model $\pi\colon Y \to X$ of X. The extension ω' of ω to Y_{reg} gives us a Namikawa symplectic variety (Y, ω'), due to Proposition 12.

Proof of (1). We can apply Matsushita's Theorem [Mat01, Corollary 1.4] to the fibration $f \circ \pi \colon Y \to B$. This immediately shows all claims about B.

Proof of (3). For a general $b \in B$ the preimages $F := f^{-1}(b)$ and $G := (f \circ \pi)^{-1}(b)$ are general fibers of f and $f \circ \pi$. So G is by [Mat01, Corollary 1.4] an n-dimensional, Abelian, Lagrangian subvariety with $G = \pi^{-1}(F)$. As π is birational, the restriction $\pi\big|_G \colon G \to F$ to the general fibers is also birational. The fibers of π are connected by Zariski's Main Theorem, [Har77, Corollary 11.4], thus F has by Lemma 24 canonical singularities like X. Therefore [HM07] shows that any fiber of π is rationally chain connected. Since Abelian varieties do not contain any rational curves, π induces an isomorphism between the general fibers G and F, which proves all claims about F.

Proof of (2). As X_{sing} is closed and f is proper, $f(X_{sing})$ is closed too. By part (3) the general fiber F of f is an Abelian variety, in particular it is smooth. It is completely contained in X_{reg} by Lemma 23 in the appendix. Thus $f(X_{sing})$ is a proper closed subset of B.

Proof of (4). Let $D \neq 0$ be a Weil divisor on X. The birational transformed divisor D' on Y is a Cartier divisor, because Y is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. As $\pi(\operatorname{supp} D') = \operatorname{supp} D$, applying [Mat01, Corollary 1.4] to the divisor D' on Y shows that the dimension of $(f \circ \pi)(\operatorname{supp} D') = f(\operatorname{supp} D)$ is at least $\dim B - 1$. □

4.2.2. *Direct proof using Matsushita's ideas.* In the same setting, we choose ample divisors A on X and H on B. We denote their first Chern classes as a and h.

It suffices to prove the parts (1), (3) and (4). Like in section 4.2, part (2) follows directly from part (3), because X is smooth along the general fiber by Lemma 23 and f is proper. We will subdivide the proof of part (3) into the following steps:

(3a) The general fiber F is a Lagrangian subvariety of X. In other words, the restriction $\omega\big|_{X_{reg} \cap F_{reg}}$ vanishes as a two-form on $X_{reg} \cap F_{reg}$.
(3b) The general fiber is smooth.
(3c) The general fiber is an Abelian variety.

Proof of (1). We note $\dim B + \dim F = \dim X$ by the fiber dimension theorem [Sha94, Theorem 1.25]. Hence the assumption $\dim F > 0$ implies $\dim B < 2n$. So for any class $d = c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_B(D)\big)$ coming from a Cartier divisor D on B we get $(f^*D)^{2n} = 0$. Moreover, the Fujiki relation $(f^*D)^{2n} = c_X \cdot q_X(f^*d)^n$ gives

$$q_X(f^*d) = 0. \tag{2}$$

Furthermore we calculate for any $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$:

$$\begin{aligned}(A + tf^*H)^{2n} &= c_X \cdot q_X(a + tf^*h)^n \\ &= c_X \cdot \big(q_X(a) + 2tq_X(a, f^*h) + t^2 \underbrace{q_X(f^*h)}_{=0}\big)^n\end{aligned}$$

We see from the t-coefficient that $q_X(a, f^*h)$ is positive:

$$q_X(a, f^*h) = \frac{A^{2n-1}.f^*H}{c_X \cdot q_X(a)^{n-1}} > 0. \tag{3}$$

Therefore the t^k-coefficient $\binom{2n}{k} \cdot A^{2n-k}.(f^*H)^k$ vanishes for $k > n$, but survives for $k = n$:

$$\binom{2n}{n} \cdot A^n.(f^*H)^n = 2^n c_X \cdot q_X(a, f^*h)^n > 0.$$

This shows $\dim B = n$. To prove $\rho(B) = 1$, we take the class $d = c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_B(D)\big)$ of any Cartier divisor $D \in \operatorname{Div}(B)$. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we can decompose by Proposition 22 $f^*(d - \lambda h) = s \cdot a + b$ with $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \in V_-$ and $q(a, b) = 0$. Applying $q_X(\,\cdot\,, a)$

gives $q_X(f^*(d) - \lambda f^*(h), a) = s \cdot q_X(a)$, so s vanishes for $\lambda := \frac{q_X(f^*d,a)}{q_X(f^*h,a)}$. For this particular λ we get by equation (2)

$$c_X \cdot q_X(b) = c_X \cdot q_X\big(f^*(d - \lambda h)\big) = 0,$$

so $b = f^*(d - \lambda h) = 0$, because q_X is non-degenerate.

The map $\operatorname{Div}(B) \to \operatorname{Pic}(B)$ is injective by [Har77, Corollary II 6.14]. We have $f_* f^* \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}$ for any line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \operatorname{Pic} B$ due to the projection formula, so $f^*\colon \operatorname{Pic}(B) \to \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is injective. As X has vanishing irregularity, $c_1\colon \operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is injective by the exponential sequence. Thus the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Div}(B) &\to \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \\ E &\mapsto c_1\big(f^* \mathcal{O}_B(E)\big) \end{aligned}$$

and also its $\mathbb{R}$-linear extension $\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{R}}(B) \to \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ are injective. Therefore the equation

$$0 = f^*(d - \lambda h) = f^* c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_B(D - \lambda H)\big) = c_1\big(f^* \mathcal{O}_B(D - \lambda H)\big)$$

implies $D = \lambda H$ in $\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{R}}(B)$. Hence D and λH are numerically equivalent, which proves $\rho(B) = 1$.

Proof of (3a). We consider a general fiber F over a smooth point $b \in B$ and the embedding $i\colon F \hookrightarrow X$. By Lemma 24 in the appendix the general fiber F fulfills $F_{reg} = F \cap X_{reg}$ and has at most canonical singularities. Therefore, considering the symplectic form ω as a holomorphic form on the smooth locus X_{reg}, we can restrict ω to $F \cap X_{reg}$ and obtain a reflexive form on F, which we denote by $\omega\big|_F \in \mathrm{H}^2(F, \Omega_F^{[2]})$. To prove that F is a Lagrangian subvariety of X, we need to prove $\omega\big|_F = 0$.

We note $\underline{\omega|_F} = i^* w$ for $w := \underline{\omega}$ and that $i^* a$ is an ample class on F. The idea is now to show

$$\int_F i^*(w \overline{w} a^{n-2}) = 0. \tag{4}$$

This integral equals $\psi_{F, i^*a}(i^*w, i^*w)$ and by the Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations, Corollary 9, it vanishes if and only if $\omega\big|_F = 0$, otherwise it is positive.

As the varieties X, F, B are compact and complex, they have canonical fundamental classes $[X] \in \mathrm{H}_{4n}(X, \mathbb{Z})$, $[F] \in \mathrm{H}_{2n}(F, \mathbb{Z})$, $[B] \in \mathrm{H}_{2n}(B, \mathbb{Z})$ with duals in cohomology by section 2.3. The fundamental class of F induces a class $i_*[F] \in \mathrm{H}_{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z})$. By definition, we have $H^n = [B] \cap h^n$, so the dual to the fundamental class of B is $[B]^\vee := \frac{1}{H^n} h^n \in \mathrm{H}^{2n}(B, \mathbb{Z})$. An easy calculation with the projection formula, see Lemma 25, gives the equality

$$[X] \cap f^*\big([B]^\vee\big) = i_*[F]. \tag{5}$$

in $\mathrm{H}^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z})$. We use this to calculate the integral (4).

$$\begin{aligned} \int_F i^*(w \overline{w} a^{n-2}) &= [F] \cap i^*(w \overline{w} a^{n-2}) \\ &= i_*[F] \cap w \overline{w} a^{n-2} && \text{Projection formula} \\ &= \frac{1}{H^n}\big([X] \cap (f^*h)^n\big) \cap w \overline{w} a^{n-2} && \text{By equation (5)} \\ &= \frac{1}{H^n}[X] \cap \big(w \overline{w} a^{n-2} \cup (f^*h)^n\big) && \text{[Bre97, Theorem VI 5.2.(3)]} \\ &= \frac{1}{H^n} \int_X w \overline{w} a^{n-2} (f^*h)^n \end{aligned}$$

We calculate the latter integral as a term of the following Fujiki relation for $s,t\in\mathbb{R}^+$.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X (w+\overline{w}+sa+tf^*h)^{2n} &= c_X\cdot q_X(w+\overline{w}+sa+tf^*h)^n\\ &= c_X\cdot\big(q_X(w+\overline{w})+s^2q_X(a)+2stq_X(a,f^*h)\big)^n\end{aligned}$$

In the last step we used the vanishing of $q_X(f^*h)$ by equation (2) and the vanishing of $q_X(w+\overline{w},a)$ and $q_X(w+\overline{w},f^*h)$ that follows directly from the definition of the Beauville-Bogomolov form via type considerations. Comparing the $s^{n-2}t^n$-terms gives $\int_X w\overline{w}a^{n-2}(f^*h)^n=0$ and therefore $\omega\big|_F=0$.

Proof of (3b). As the symplectic form ω is nowhere degenerate, it induces at every point $x\in X_{reg}$ a linear isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_x\colon \mathcal{T}_{X_{reg},x}&\to\Omega^1_{X_{reg},x}\\ t&\mapsto\omega_x(t,\,\cdot\,).\end{aligned}$$

These isomorphisms glue together to an isomorphism of sheaves $\phi\colon\mathcal{T}_{X_{reg}}\xrightarrow{\sim}\Omega^1_{X_{reg}}$.

By Lemma 24 the fiber F is smooth at a point $x\in F$ if and only if $x\in X_{reg}$. This enables us to use the exact sequence of the tangent complex, [Har77, page 182],

$$0\to\mathcal{T}_{F_{reg}}\to\mathcal{T}_{X_{reg}|F_{reg}}\to\mathcal{N}_{F_{reg}|X_{reg}}\to 0. \tag{6}$$

On the other hand, the differential df induces a map of vector bundles

$$\begin{aligned}df\colon\mathcal{T}_{X_{reg}|F_{reg}}&\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_bB\times F_{reg}\\ \forall x\in F_{reg},\,v\in\mathcal{T}_{X,x}\colon(v,x)&\longmapsto\big(d_xf(v),x\big)\end{aligned}$$

where we consider $\mathcal{T}_bB\times F_{reg}$ as a trivial vector bundle of rank n over F_{reg}. The kernel of df is exactly $\mathcal{T}_{F_{reg}}$ and df is surjective because of $\dim B+\dim F=\dim X$. Using sequence (6) we get $\mathcal{N}_{F_{reg}|X_{reg}}\cong\mathcal{T}_{X_{reg}|F_{reg}}/\mathcal{T}_{F_{reg}}\cong\mathcal{T}_bB\times F_{reg}$, so the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{F_{reg}|X_{reg}}$ is also free of rank n.

We restrict ϕ to a morphism $\phi\big|_{F_{reg}}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\phi\big|_{F_{reg}}\colon\mathcal{T}_{X_{reg}|F_{reg}}&\longrightarrow\Omega^1_{F_{reg}}\\ \forall s\in\mathcal{T}_{X_{reg}}\colon s\big|_{F_{reg}}&\longmapsto\phi(s)\big|_{F_{reg}}\end{aligned}$$

The map $\phi\big|_{F_{reg}}$ is surjective, because ϕ and the restriction map $\Omega^1_{X_{reg}}\to\Omega^1_{F_{reg}}$ are surjective by [Har77, Proposition 8.16]. We know about the kernel $\mathcal{T}_{F_{reg}}\subset\ker\big(\phi\big|_{F_{reg}}\big)$, because F is a Lagrangian subvariety. As ω is non-degenerate, this inclusion is by dimension reasons an equality. Using $\mathcal{N}_{F_{reg}|X_{reg}}\cong\mathcal{T}_{X_{reg}|F_{reg}}/\mathcal{T}_{F_{reg}}$ again, we see that ϕ induces an isomorphism $\psi\colon\mathcal{N}_{F_{reg}|X_{reg}}\xrightarrow{\sim}\Omega^1_{F_{reg}}$. Hence $\Omega^1_{F_{reg}}$ is free of rank n as well.

The sheaves $\mathcal{N}_{F_{reg}|X_{reg}}$ and $\Omega^1_{F_{reg}}\cong\Omega^{[1]}_F\big|_{F_{reg}}$ are reflexive, because they are duals of coherent sheaves, [Har80, Corollary 1.2]. As F is normal, the isomorphism ψ extends uniquely over the singular locus F_{sing} to an isomorphism $\mathcal{N}_{F|X}\xrightarrow{\sim}\Omega^{[1]}_F$ of globally free sheaves of rank n on F, [Har80, Corollary 1.6].

Therefore the tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_F=\big(\Omega^{[1]}_F\big)^*$ is globally free and F is smooth by the Lipmann-Zariski conjecture, which is already proven for the singularities of the minimal model program, [GKKP11, Theorem 6.1], [GK14, Corollary 1.3], [Dru14, Theorem 1.1].

Proof of (3c). As F is compact Kähler with globally free tangent sheaf, we may directly conclude by [Wan54, Corollary 2] that F is an Abelian variety. A more algebraic argument uses the Hodge symmetry $\mathrm{h}^1(F, \mathcal{O}_F) = \mathrm{h}^0(F, \Omega^1_F) = n = \dim F$. By [Kaw81, Corollary 2] the Albanese morphism $\alpha\colon F \to \mathrm{Alb}(F)$ is a birational morphism to the Abelian variety $\mathrm{Alb}(F)$. In fact α is an isomorphism, because $K_F = 0$ is numerically effective.

Proof of (4). Let $D \neq 0$ be a Weil divisor on X. We may restrict to the case that D is a prime divisor, because we are only interested in the dimension of $f(\operatorname{supp} D)$. We also assume that D is a Cartier divisor, because we may go over to a terminal model $\pi\colon Y \to X$ and consider the birational transformed divisor D' on Y, which is a Cartier divisor with $(f \circ \pi)(\operatorname{supp} D') = f(\operatorname{supp} D)$.

We denote $d := c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_X(D)\big)$ and assume to the contrary of the theorem that $\dim f(\operatorname{supp} D) < n-1$. This would mean $D.f^*H^{n-1} = f_*D.H^{n-1} = 0$ by the projection formula, which will lead to a contradiction. We apply equation (2) to the following Fujiki relation for $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

$$(D + tf^*H)^{2n} = c_X \cdot \big(q_X(d) + tq_X(d, f^*h) + \underbrace{t^2 q_X(f^*h)}_{=0}\big)^n$$

The t^n-terms on both sides vanish, which is equivalent to

$$0 = D^n.(f^*H)^n = q_X(d, f^*h). \tag{7}$$

Moreover, we calculate for $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ the following Fujiki relation and apply the equations (2) and (7).

$$\begin{aligned}
&(A + sD + tf^*H)^{2n} \\
&= c_X \cdot q_X(a + sd + tf^*h)^n \\
&= c_X \big(q_X(a) + s^2 q_X(d) + \underbrace{t^2 q_X(f^*h)}_{=0} + 2sq_X(a,d) + 2tq_X(a, f^*h) + \underbrace{2stq_X(d, f^*h)}_{=0}\big)^n
\end{aligned}$$

Looking at the s-term shows

$$q_X(a,d) = \frac{A^{2n-1}.D}{c_X \cdot q_X(a)^{n-1}} > 0, \tag{8}$$

because we assumed D to be effective. Now we can compare the coefficients of the st^{n-1}-terms:

$$\binom{2n-1}{n} \cdot D.(f^*H)^{n-1}.A^n = 2^{n-1} c_X \cdot q_X(a,d) \cdot q_X(a, f^*h)^{n-1}$$

This should be positive because of the equations (3) and (8), which contradicts the triviality of $D.(f^*H)^{n-1}$. □

Appendix

For the reader's convenience, we explain here some lemmas that should be well known. The first one can be seen as a trivial case of [Kol96, Theorem I.6.5].

Lemma 23 (Smoothness at general fibers)**.** *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a surjective morphism of complex varieties with a general fiber F. If F is smooth at a point $x \in F$, then X is smooth at x.*

Proof. Let X, Y be $n+r$ and n-dimensional, respectively. A general fiber F lies over a smooth point $y \in Y$ and has the dimension r by the fiber dimension theorem, [Sha94, Theorem 1.25]. Consider a smooth point $x \in F$ with maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_{X,x} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{F,x} \subset \mathcal{O}_{F,x}$ and the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{Y,y} \subset \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ of y. As $y \in Y$ and $x \in F$ are regular, we can write $\mathfrak{m}_{F,x} = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_r)$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{Y,y} = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)$. The ideal sheaf sequence for $F \subset X$ allows us to extend the ϕ_i to functions

$\overline{\phi_i} \in \mathfrak{m}_{X,x} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Then it can easily be checked that we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \to f^*\mathfrak{m}_{Y,y} \to \mathfrak{m}_{X,x} \to \mathfrak{m}_{F,x} \to 0$$

that shows $\mathfrak{m}_{X,x} = (f^*\psi_1, \dots, f^*\psi_n, \overline{\phi_1}, \dots, \overline{\phi_r})$. Hence $x \in X$ is regular and also smooth, because we work over a perfect field. □

Lemma 24 (Singularities of general fibers)**.** *Let $X \to Y$ be a surjective morphism of complex varieties with connected fibers, where X is normal and irreducible. Then the general fiber F is irreducible with $F_{sing} = F \cap X_{sing}$. When X has canonical (resp. terminal) singularities the general fiber also has canonical (resp. terminal) singularities.*

Proof. A general fiber F lies over a smooth point of Y, so it is a local complete intersection in X. By induction we may assume that F is a general hypersurface section of X. It is normal by [BS95, Theorem 1.7.1.1]. The strict transform of F under a resolution $\nu\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ is a general hypersurface section in $\widetilde{X}$, which is smooth by Bertini's Theorem, [Aki51]. So ν is for general F a log resolution. The adjunction formula lets us compare the minimal discrepancies as $discr(F) \geq discr(X)$, which shows that F also has canonical (resp. terminal) singularities.

Furthermore Bertini's Theorem implies that F is irreducible and smooth outside of the singular locus of X, so $F_{sing} \subset F \cap X_{sing}$. On the other hand, a smooth point of F is by Lemma 23 also a smooth point of X. This gives the equality $F_{sing} = F \cap X_{sing}$. □

Lemma 25. *Let $f\colon X \to B$ be a surjective morphism between complex projective varieties, where X is normal and irreducible. Suppose $\dim X = m$, $\dim B = n$ and $i\colon F \hookrightarrow X$ is a general fiber of dimension $r = m - n$. Let $[X] \in \mathrm{H}_{2m}(X, \mathbb{Z})$, $[F] \in \mathrm{H}_{2r}(F, \mathbb{Z})$, $[B] \in \mathrm{H}_{2n}(B, \mathbb{Z})$ be the canonical fundamental classes of X, F, B and $[B]^\vee \in \mathrm{H}^{2n}(B, \mathbb{Z})$ be the dual of $[B]$ in cohomology by section 2.3. Then*

$$[X] \cap f^*\big([B]^\vee\big) = i_*[F]. \tag{9}$$

Proof. If X, F, B are smooth, equation (9) follows from Poincaré duality. Therefore Lemma 25 follows by going over to resolutions of singularities, pushing forward equation (9) and doing calculations with the projection formula. □

References

[Aki51] Yasuo Akizuki. Theorems of Bertini on linear systems. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 3:170–180, 1951. DOI:10.2969/jmsj/00310170.

[BCHM10] Caucher Birkar, Paolo Cascini, Christopher D. Hacon, and James McKernan. Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type. *Journal of the AMS*, 23:405–468, 2010. DOI:10.1090/S0894-0347-09-00649-3.

[Bea83] Arnaud Beauville. Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle. *J. Differential Geom.*, 18(4):755–782 (1984), 1983. Projecteuclid:1214438181.

[Bea00] Arnaud Beauville. Symplectic singularities. *Invent. Math.*, 139(3):541–549, 2000. DOI:10.1007/s002229900043.

[Bog78] Fjodor A. Bogomolov. Hamiltonian Kählerian manifolds. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 243(5):1101–1104, 1978.

[Bre97] Glen E. Bredon. *Topology and geometry*, volume 139 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. Corrected third printing of the 1993 original DOI:10.1007/978-1-4757-6848-0.

[BS95] Mauro C. Beltrametti and Andrew J. Sommese. *The adjunction theory of complex projective varieties*, volume 16 of *De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1995. DOI:10.1515/9783110871746.

[Dru14] Stéphane Druel. The Zariski-Lipman conjecture for log canonical spaces. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 46(4):827–835, 2014. DOI:10.1112/blms/bdu040, arXiv:1301.5910.

[Fuj87] Akira Fujiki. On the de Rham cohomology group of a compact Kähler symplectic manifold. In *Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985*, volume 10 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 105–165. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.

[GK14] Patrick Graf and Sándor J. Kovács. An optimal extension theorem for 1-forms and the Lipman-Zariski conjecture. *Doc. Math.*, 19:815–830, 2014. www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/documenta/vol-19/27.html, arXiv:1301.7315.

[GKKP11] Daniel Greb, Stefan Kebekus, Sándor J. Kovács, and Thomas Peternell. Differential forms on log canonical spaces. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 114(1):87–169, November 2011. DOI:10.1007/s10240-011-0036-0 An extended version with additional graphics is available as arXiv:1003.2913v4.

[GKP16] Daniel Greb, Stefan Kebekus, and Thomas Peternell. Singular spaces with trivial canonical class. In *Minimal Models and Extremal Rays, Kyoto, 2011*, volume 70 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 67–113. Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2016. http://bookstore.ams.org/aspm-70, Preprint arXiv:1110.5250.

[GM80] Mark Goresky and Robert MacPherson. Intersection homology theory. *Topology*, 19(2):135–162, 1980. DOI:10.1016/0040-9383(80)90003-8.

[Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. DOI:10.1007/978-1-4757-3849-0.

[Har80] Robin Hartshorne. Stable reflexive sheaves. *Math. Ann.*, 254(2):121–176, 1980. DOI: 10.1007/BF01467074.

[HM07] Christopher D. Hacon and James Mckernan. On Shokurov's rational connectedness conjecture. *Duke Math. J.*, 138(1):119–136, 2007. DOI:10.1215/S0012-7094-07-13813-4, arXiv:0504330v2.

[Kaw81] Yujiro Kawamata. Characterization of abelian varieties. *Compositio Math.*, 43(2):253–276, 1981. www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1981__43_2_253_0.

[Keb13] Stefan Kebekus. Pull-back morphisms for reflexive differential forms. *Adv. Math.*, 245:78–112, 2013. DOI:10.1016/j.aim.2013.06.013, arXiv:1210.3255.

[Kir15] Tim Kirschner. *Period mappings with applications to symplectic complex spaces*, volume 2140 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, 2015. DOI:10.1007/978-3-319-17521-8, arXiv:1210.4197.

[Kol96] János Kollár. *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, volume 32 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. DOI:10.1007/978-3-662-03276-3.

[KP16] Stefan Kebekus and Thomas Peternell. Aspects of the geometry of varieties with canonical singularities. In *Foliation theory in algebraic geometry. Proceedings of the conference, New York, NY, USA, September 3–7, 2013*, pages 73–102. Cham: Springer, 2016. DOI:10.1007/978-3-319-24460-0_4.

[Mat01] Daisuke Matsushita. Fujiki relation on symplectic varieties. *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints*, September 2001. arXiv:0109165.

[Mat15] Daisuke Matsushita. On base manifolds of Lagrangian fibrations. *Sci. China Math.*, 58(3):531–542, 2015. DOI:10.1007/s11425-014-4927-7.

[Nam01a] Yoshinori Namikawa. A note on symplectic singularities. *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints*, January 2001. arXiv:0101028.

[Nam01b] Yoshinori Namikawa. Extension of 2-forms and symplectic varieties. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 539:123–147, 2001. DOI:10.1515/crll.2001.070, arXiv:0010114v3.

[Ohs87] Takeo Ohsawa. Hodge spectral sequence and symmetry on compact Kähler spaces. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 23(4):613–625, 1987. DOI:10.2977/prims/1195176250.

[Sch16] Martin Schwald. Low degree Hodge theory for klt varieties. 2016. Preprint: arXiv:1612.01919.

[Sha94] Igor R. Shafarevich. *Basic algebraic geometry. 1*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1994. Varieties in projective space, Translated from the 1988 Russian edition and with notes by Miles Reid.

[Wan54] Hsien-Chung Wang. Complex parallisable manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 5:771–776, 1954. DOI:10.1090/S0002-9939-1954-0074064-3.

Martin Schwald, Mathematisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Eckerstrasse 1, 79104 Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany

E-mail address: martin.schwald@math.uni-freiburg.de

URL: http://home.mathematik.uni-freiburg.de/schwald